EXHIBIT "A"

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU		Index No.: Date Purchased:	
CATHLEEN DEGARMO,		SUMMONS	
	Plaintiff(s),	Plaintiffs designate Nassau County as the place of trial.	
-against-		The basis of venue is:	
TARGET CORPORATION		Location of Incident	
	Defendant(s).	Incident Location: 838 Sunrise Highway, Bayshore New York	

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the Plaintiffs' attorneys within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, where service is made by delivery upon you personally within the state, or, within 30 days after completion of service where service is made in any other manner. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: NEW YORK, NEW YORK March 29, 2022

Alex Rybakov RRK KUCHER LAW GROUP PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) CATHLEEN DEGARMO 228 Park Avenue S Suite 22033 New York, New York 10003 929-274-8000 Our File # 21-0039

To:

TARGET CORPORATION - 100 Nicolett Mall, Minneapolis, MN 55403 - Via secretary of state

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 63/29/2022 -08:4027M

Page 3 of 8 PageID #: 6

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/29/202

SUPREME COURT OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU	STATE OF NEW YORK	ē
CATHLEEN DEGARMO		
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: Date Purchased:
TARGET CORPORATION		VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	Defendant(s).	

Plaintiff, CATHLEEN DEGARMO, by her attorneys, complaining of the Defendant,

TARGET CORPORATION respectfully alleges, upon information and belief:

- That at the time of the commencement of this action, Plaintiff CATHLEEN DEGARMO
 resided in the County of Suffolk, State of New York.
- 2. That the cause of action alleged herein arose in the County of Nassau, City and State of New York.
- 3. That this action falls within one or more of the exemptions set forth in CPLR §1602.
- 4. That at all times herein mentioned, the Defendant TARGET CORPORATION was and still is a foreign corporation, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- That at the time of the commencement of this action, Defendant TARGET CORPORATION
 was operating a business in the County of Hennepin and State of Minnesota.
- 6. That at the time of the commencement of this action, Defendant TARGET CORPORATION maintained a principal place of business at 838 Sunrise Highway, Bayshore, New York.
- 7. That on or before September 06, 2021, and at all times herein mentioned, Defendant TARGET CORPORATION owned the premises, and the appurtenances therein, located at 838 Sunrise Highway, Bayshore, New York, in the County of Suffolk, City and State of New York.

1

FILED: CANASSRU VCCUNTENCIERE 03/29/2022 F08: 4929M Page 4 of 8 Page 10 #: 7 Page 10 Page 10 #: 7 Page 10 Page

8. That on or before September 06, 2021, all times herein mentioned, and upon information and belief, the aforesaid premises, and the appurtenances therein, were operated by Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION.

- 9. That on or before September 06, 2021, all times herein mentioned, and upon information and belief, the aforesaid premises, and the appurtenances therein, were maintained by Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION.
- 10. That on or before September 06, 2021, all times herein mentioned, and upon information and belief, the aforesaid premises, and the appurtenances therein, were controlled by Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION.
- 11. That on or before September 06, 2021, all times herein mentioned, and upon information and belief, the aforesaid premises, and the appurtenances therein, were repaired by Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION.
- 12. That on or before September 06, 2021, all times herein mentioned, and upon information and belief, the aforesaid premises, and the appurtenances therein, were managed by Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION.
- 13. That on September 06, 2021, Plaintiff CATHLEEN DEGARMO was lawfully at the aforesaid premises.
- 14. That on September 06, 2021, it was the duty of the Defendant TARGET CORPORATION to maintain the premises therein free of dangerous, hazardous, defection and unsafe condition.
- 15. That on September 06, 2021, pursuant to the Department of Buildings code it was the duty of the Defendant to maintain the premises therein free of dangerous, hazardous, defection and unsafe condition.

FILED: CANASSAU VCCUNTENCLERK 03/29/2022 F08: 4922M Page 5 of 8 Page D#: 8 Page D#: 8 Page 5 of 8 Page D#: 8 Page D#

16. That on September 06, 2021, while Plaintiff CATHLEEN DEGARMO was lawfully at the aforesaid location, Plaintiff was caused to sustain severe and permanent injuries when Plaintiff fell.

- 17. That on September 06, 2021 the defendants TARGET CORPORATION breached their duty and the aforementioned code.
- 18. That the above mentioned occurrence, and the results thereof, were caused by the negligence of the Defendant, TARGET CORPORATION and/or said Defendants' agents, servants, employees and/or licensees in the ownership, operation, management, maintenance, repair and control of the aforesaid premises.
- 19. That defendant TARGET CORPORATION jointly and/or severally and through its agents, servants, and or employees, were careless, negligent, in their ownership, operation, control, care, custody, charge, supervision, management, and maintenance of the aforesaid premises; in causing, creating, permitting, and or allowing a dangerous, hazardous, defective, and unsafe condition upon said premises; in failing to undertake proper and/or adequate safety studies and/or surveys; in failing to hire efficient and/or sufficient personnel; in failing to provide plaintiff with reasonably safe condition on subject premises; in failing to properly operate the aforementioned premises; in causing plaintiff to be injured while she was lawfully at the subject premises; in failing to avoid the aforesaid accident which was foreseeable; and the defendant was otherwise reckless, careless and negligent.
- 20. That no negligence on the part of the Plaintiff CATHLEEN DEGARMO contributed to the occurrence alleged herein in any manner whatsoever.
- 21. That as a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff CATHLEEN DEGARMO was caused to sustain serious injuries and to have suffered pain, shock and mental anguish; that these injuries and

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 93/29/2022 108:4029M

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

Page 6 of 8 PageID #: 9

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/29/202

their effects will be permanent; and as a result of said injuries Plaintiff has been caused to

incur, and will continue to incur, expenses for medical care and attention; and, as a further

result, Plaintiff was, and will continue to be, rendered unable to perform Plaintiff's normal

activities and duties and has sustained a resultant loss therefrom.

22. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff CATHLEEN DEGARMO was damaged in a sum

which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have

jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment on all causes of action against the defendants

in a substantial amount to be determined by the Supreme Court of the State of New York which amount

exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which might otherwise have jurisdiction thereof.

Dated:

New York, New York March 29, 2022

Yours, etc.

Alex Rybakov

RRK KUCHER LAW GROUP PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
CATHLEEN DEGARMO

228 Park Avenue S

Suite 22033

New York, New York 10003

929-274-8000

Our File # 21-0039

FILED CaNASSAU GOUNTY CLERK 03/29/2022 FIRE 0493PM Page 7 of 8 PageID #: 10 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/29/202

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)	
		: SS :
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	

Alex Rybakov, an attorney and counselor at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms the following to be true under penalties of perjury:

I am a member of the RRK KUCHER LAW GROUP firm of attorneys for the plaintiff herein.

I have read the foregoing **COMPLAINT** and know the contents thereof. Upon information and belief, I believe the matters alleged therein to be true.

The source of your deponent's information and the grounds of my belief are communications, papers, reports and investigations contained in my file.

The reason this verification is made by deponent and not by plaintiff is that plaintiff is not now in a county in which your deponent's office is maintained.

Dated: New York, New York March 21, 2022

Alex Rybakov

FILED CaNASSAUV-COUNTY/VCLERK 103/129/12022F108 10403PM Page 8 of 8 Page ID #: 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/29/202

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU		
CATHLEEN DEGARMO		
Plaintiff(s),		
-against-		
TARGET CORPORATION		
Defendant(s).		
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT		
RRK KUCHER LAW GROUP PLCC 228 Park Avenue S Suite 22033 New York, New York 10003		

Pursuant to 22NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, certifies that, upon information and belief and reasonable inquiry, the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous.

Alex Rybakov